Highly Active $Cs₂O/Ru/Pr₆O₁₁$ as a Catalyst for Ammonia Decomposition

Katsutoshi Nagaoka,*¹ Kyoko Honda,¹ Megumi Ibuki,¹ Katsutoshi Sato,² and Yusaku Takita¹

¹Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Oita University, 700 Dannoharu, Oita 870-1192

2 National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), 1-1-1 Umezono, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8568

(Received June 8, 2010; CL-100540; E-mail: nagaoka@cc.oita-u.ac.jp)

The use of a new catalyst, namely, $Cs_2O/5$ wt % Ru/Pr_6O_{11} (mole ratio of Cs to Ru: 1), in which Ru is supported on strong basic $Pr₆O₁₁$ and the strong basic promoter $Cs₂O$ is added, resulted in almost complete NH_3 consumption at 673 K and an NH_3 conversion of 14% even at temperatures as low as 523 K.

Recently, NH_3 has been regarded as a potential H_2 -storage material that can be used in a PEFC (proton-exchange membrane fuel cell), owing to the following reasons:¹ (i) the H₂-storage capacity (17.7%) and energy density (3000 W h kg^{-1}) of NH₃ are higher than those of methanol and other fuels, (ii) $NH₃$ is in the liquid form at room temperature and at a pressure of around 8 atm, and hence, it is easy to store, (iii) $CO₂$, which causes global warming, and CO, which degrades cell electrodes when it is converted to H_2 and N_2 , are not produced, and (iv) the concentration of unconverted $NH₃$ can be reduced to less than 200 ppb by using suitable absorbers.² In addition, NH_3 is catalytically decomposed $(NH_3 \rightarrow 0.5N_2 + 1.5H_2)$ at 673 K (equilibrium conversion: 99%); at this temperature, common industrial waste heat is available.³ Supported Ru catalysts doped with alkaline metal oxide promoters are widely known to be active catalysts,¹ and GC (graphitic carbon)⁴ and CNTs (carbon nanotubes) have been reported to be the most effective supports. However, in the case of on-site generation of H_2 for use in PEFC applications, in which the system is shutdown and restarted daily, a catalytic support made of flammable carbon may not be suitable. Therefore, in this study, we have developed new Ru catalysts that are supported by rare-earth oxides and promoted with alkaline metal oxides. The objective of this study is to realize an energysaving process based on the use of industrial waste heat by ensuring that NH_3 is almost completely consumed at 673 K.

Supported Ru catalysts were prepared according to a procedure described elsewhere.⁵ Supports such as $Pr₆O₁₁$ and La_2O_3 , which were prepared in-house,⁶ MgO (the reference catalyst of the Catalysis Society of Japan, JRC-MGO-500A), Al₂O₃ (JRC-ALO-3), and SiO₂-Al₂O₃ (Catalysts & Chemicals Industries Co., Ltd.) calcined at 973 K were impregnated with $Ru₃(CO)₁₂$ (Wako Pure Chemical Industries) in THF (tetrahydrofuran) solution. The Ru loading was set to 1 or $5 \text{ wt } \%$. The $Ru₃(CO)₁₂-THF-support system was stirred for 12 h, evacuated$ in a rotary evaporator, and dried at 343 K overnight. It was heated to 623 K under He for 5 h in order to remove the CO ligand from the Ru precursor.

Alkaline metal oxides doped with $5 \text{ wt } \% \text{ Ru/Pr}_6\text{O}_{11}$ were prepared by wet impregnation using 5 wt % $Ru/Pr₆O₁₁$, a decarbonylated aqueous solution of $CsNO₃$ purchased from Kanto Chemical, and $RbNO_3$, KNO_3 , $NaNO_3$, and $LiNO_3$, which were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries. The mole ratio of the alkaline metal to Ru was set to 1. The samples were dried at 343 K overnight and then heated at 773 K in flowing H_2 to remove $NO₃⁻$. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller surface areas of the catalysts are listed in Table S1.6

The obtained catalyst powders were pressed into pellets at 52 MPa for 5 min. The pellets were crushed and sieved to obtain grains with diameters between 250 and $500 \mu m$, and 200 mg of catalyst was loaded into a tubular quartz reactor $(i.d. = 7 mm)$. The catalysts were reduced in an atmosphere of pure H_2 at 773 K for 1 h at a rate of 10 K min⁻¹ and then purged with pure Ar and cooled to 473 K. At 473 K, pure NH₃ (10 mL min⁻¹, SV = $3000 \,\mathrm{mL} \, \mathrm{h}^{-1} \, \mathrm{g}^{-1}$) was passed over the catalyst. After the temperature of the catalyst was kept constant for 0.5 h in order to measure its activity, the catalyst was heated to 523 K. This procedure was repeated until the catalyst was heated up to 773 K. The reaction products were analyzed by using a gas chromatograph with a thermal conductivity detector that was equipped with an active carbon column.

To measure the temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) profiles of $CO₂$, 200 mg of the catalysts was reduced at 773 K for 1 h and exposed to CO_2/He (1%/99%) at 323 K for 1 h. Pure He was fed to the fresh catalysts at ambient temperature, and then the oven temperature was increased at a rate of $10 \,\mathrm{K} \,\mathrm{min}^{-1}$. The CO₂ion intensity was continuously monitored using a quadrupole mass spectrometer.

Figure 1 shows the temperature-dependence of $NH₃$ conversion for supported 1 and 5 wt % Ru catalysts. The activities of $Ru/La₂O₃$ and $Ru/Pr₆O₁₁$ were the highest among supported 1 wt % Ru catalysts, and the activities of the other catalysts decreased in the following order: MgO, Al_2O_3 , $SiO_2-Al_2O_3$.

To understand why $Ru/Pr₆O₁₁$ and $Ru/La₂O₃$ exhibited high activity, the catalysts were characterized by CO_2 -TPD (Figure 2). The intensity of the $CO₂$ desorption peak was much greater for $Ru/La₂O₃$ and $Ru/Pr₆O₁₁$ than for popular basic MgO supports and the other oxide supports, indicating that basic sites were highly abundant on Ru/La_2O_3 and Ru/Pr_6O_{11} . Note that the amount of $CO₂$ desorbed at high temperatures above 673 K was

Figure 1. $NH₃$ conversion vs. temperature for NH₃ decomposition for 1 and 5 wt % Ru catalysts.

Figure 2. Temperature-programmed desorption profiles of $CO₂$ over 1 wt % Ru catalysts.

greater in the case of $Ru/La₂O₃$ than in the case of $Ru/Pr₆O₁₁;$ this $CO₂$ desorption can be attributed to the presence of basic sites. Despite the above-mentioned trend, the total amount of $CO₂$ desorption, which was proportional to the number of basic sites, was greater in the case of Ru/Pr_6O_{11} (588 μ mol g⁻¹) than in the case of Ru/La₂O₃ (498 µmol g⁻¹). Such a trend in the basicity of the catalyst is consistent with the trend in activity; in particular, the high activity of $Ru/Pr₆O₁₁$ and $Ru/La₂O₃$ was ascribed to the strong basicity of the catalytic supports. Ru dispersion may be considered to be a crucial factor that determines catalytic activity. However, the value of CO/Ru estimated by CO chemisorption at 273 K ranged from 0.50 to 0.67; thus, the values were similar for all the catalysts. Hence, we believe that the basicity of the support is the most critical factor that determined catalytic activity. It is well known that the basic component having low electronegativity enhances the desorption of nitrogen diatoms and decreases the apparent activation energy of the decomposition reaction; this enhances the activity of Ru catalysts.¹

In order to increase the $NH₃$ conversion, we increased the Ru loading of Ru/Pr_6O_{11} and Ru/La_2O_3 up to 5 wt%. As shown in Figure 1, the activities per catalyst weight of both catalysts increased, and the $NH₃$ conversion at 673 K increased to more than 91%. Note that a further increase in the Ru loading, i.e., an increase up to 10 wt %, did not result in any further increase in NH3 conversion, probably because of the sintering of the Ru particles.

As discussed above, the increase in basicity was proven to result in an increase in the catalytic activity for NH₃ decomposition. Hence, basic promoters, i.e., alkaline metal oxides (mole ratio of alkaline metal to Ru: 1), were added to 5 wt % $Ru/Pr₆O₁₁$ and $Ru/La₂O₃$; then, the catalytic activities were measured. The results are shown in Figure 3. $Ru/Pr₆O₁₁$ promoted with Cs₂O, $Rb₂O$, $K₂O$, and Na₂O resulted in higher NH₃ conversion at 623 K than did unpromoted $Ru/Pr₆O₁₁$; the activity decreased in the following order: Cs_2O , Rb_2O , K_2O , Na_2O . Hence, the order of the activities of the catalysts is in agreement with the order of the basicities of the promoter. Note that the drastic enhancement of the activity by the addition of $Cs₂O$ was also observed in

Figure 3. NH_3 conversion vs. temperature for NH₃ decomposition for alkaline-metal-oxide-doped 5 wt % Ru/Pr_6O_{11} and Ru/La_2O_3 catalysts.

5 wt % Ru/La₂O₃, and the activity of Cs₂O/5 wt % Ru/La₂O₃ was comparable to that of $Cs₂O/5$ wt % $Ru/Pr₆O₁₁$. Surprisingly, the NH₃ conversion in the presence of Cs₂O/5 wt % Ru/Pr₆O₁₁ was 99% at 673 K, 73% at 623 K, and 14% at 523 K. Further, the activity of $Cs₂O/5$ wt % $Ru/Pr₆O₁₁$ was stable at 623 K for 120 h (Figure S1).⁶ As alkaline metal oxides were prepared by wet impregnation of the alkaline metal nitrate on 5 wt % $Ru/Pr₆O₁₁$, a part of the Ru surface would be covered by the promoters. In fact, the value of CO/Ru for Cs₂O/5 wt % Ru/Pr₆O₁₁ (0.10) was lower than that for 5 wt % $Ru/Pr₆O₁₁$ (0.33). In the case of stronger basic promoters such as $Cs₂O$, $Rb₂O$, and $K₂O$, it is assumed that the effect of the promoters dominates the decrease in the number of active Ru surface sites. On the other hand, in the case of weaker basic promoters such as $Li₂O$, the effect of the promoter may be negated by the decrease in the number of active sites.

In summary, we demonstrated that the use of $Cs₂O/$ 5 wt % $Ru/Pr₆O₁₁$ resulted not only in almost complete NH₃ consumption at 673 K, where industrial waste heat is available but also helped to achieve a surprisingly high $NH₃$ conversion of 14%, even at 523 K. The high activity of $Cs₂O/5$ wt % Ru/Pr₆O₁₁ at low temperatures is advantageous for on-site generation of H_2 for use in PEFC applications. Therefore, the present study is closely related to the development of a desired sustainable society. In particular, H_2 is produced by solar energy in an area that receives plenty of sunlight. Then, H_2 is transported in the form of $NH₃$ to areas in which electricity is consumed; here, electricity is generated using PEFCs equipped with an NH₃ cracker.

References and Notes
1 S. F. Yin, B. O. Xi

- S. F. Yin, B. O. Xu, X. P. Zhou, C. T. Au, *Appl[. Cata](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2004.09.020)l., A* 2004, [277](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2004.09.020), 1.
- 2 A. S. Chellappa, C. M. Fischer, W. J. Thomson, Appl[. Cata](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(01)00941-3)l., A 2002, 227[, 231.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(01)00941-3)
- 3 K. Aika, http://www.shokubai.org/senior/News16.pdf.
- 4 L. Li, Z. H. Zhu, Z. F. Yan, G. Q. Lu, L. Rintoul, Appl[. Cata](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2007.01.029)l., A 2007, 320[, 166.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2007.01.029)
- 5 S. Murata, K. Aika, *[J. Cata](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0021-9517(92)90110-4)l.* **1992**, 136, 110.
6 Supporting Information is available electron
- Supporting Information is available electronically on the CSJ-Journal Web site, http://www.csj.jp/journals/chem-lett/index.html.